#### **APPENDIX A: Evaluation/Research Proposal Template**

The purpose of this template is to assist staff to communicate the intent of their evaluation/research project. The aim is to ensure that there is alignment between the purpose of the evaluation/research and methods (e.g., demonstrating high quality research characteristics) and that the evaluation/research is conducted in an ethical manner. The template is based on the Public Health Ontario's ten steps for an evaluation organized and their framework for the ethical conduct of public health initiatives. These steps are organized into the three phases of evaluation/research: planning, implementation and utilization. If you have questions about completing this form, please contact your Department representative for further information.

**Phase 1: Planning** 

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
Step 1: Clarify	Is this a newly developed or implemented	Newly developed or Implemented – Yes   No?
what is to be	program/project?	
evaluated/		Is this a change to the program/project?
researched	Please provide background information on the program/project.	Does the program exist elsewhere?
		Is the program evidence-based?
	Briefly provide a narrative description of the program/project activities and/or purpose.	Do you understand what the program/project is about?
		Are there clearly articulated populations of interest and activities?
	What is the goal of the program/project? Goals are broad statements about what the program/project hopes to accomplish.	Is there alignment among the description and the goal?
	What are the objectives of the program/project? Objectives should be specific (not vague), limited in	Is there alignment between the description, the goal and the objectives?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). At a glance: The ten steps for conducting an evaluation. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario.2015. Available from: <a href="http://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/eRepository/At A Glance Evaluation 2015.pdf">http://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/eRepository/At A Glance Evaluation 2015.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario) . A framework for the ethical conduct of public health initiatives. 2012. Available from <a href="https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/eRepository/PHO%20%20Framework%20for%20Ethical%20Conduct%20of%20Public%20Health%20Initiatives%20April%202012.pdf">https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/eRepository/PHO%20%20Framework%20for%20Ethical%20Conduct%20of%20Public%20Health%20Initiatives%20April%202012.pdf</a>

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
	number and congruent with the program/project design.	Is it reasonable to expect that the activities will lead to the objectives?
		Are the objectives specific?
	What aspects of the program/project are you interested in evaluating?	Is it clear what aspect of the program/project is to be evaluated?
	What are the reasons for conducting the evaluation/research at this time?	Does the reason for conducting the evaluation/research make sense?
Step 2: Engage stakeholders	Identify the staff (external agency, if applicable) who has primary responsibility for conducting the evaluation/research project. If an external agency, identify who within the health unit will be a contact person.	Has a health unit staff member been identified? If an external agency, has the name and organization been listed with contact information?
	Identify all other members of the team or agency who will be actively involved in conducting the evaluation/research and their skill and their role.	Do those who have been identified make sense? Is there anyone who is missing? Is it clear that the evaluation/research team includes people with the necessary skills to complete the evaluation or research?
	Identify other health unit staff/departments you anticipate needing to be involved in the evaluation/research and identify their role.	Do you have a good understanding of the staff and/or departments and their role? Does this seem reasonable?
	Are any team members in a situation where their interpretations, decisions or actions related to this evaluation or research project might be (or might be perceived to be) <u>unduly</u> influenced by another competing interest?	Does there or could there be the appearance of a conflict of interest, either internally or externally?

**TITLE:** << ENTER NAME OF PROJECT HERE >>

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
Step 3: Assess	Identify the resources (financial, human, structural)	Are all financial, human, and structural needs
resources and evaluability	needed to implement this evaluation/research project.	including impact on support services (including any computer programs) resources necessary to
	List time needed by staff person for this evaluation/research project.	implement the research/evaluation project identified?
	Has the evaluation/research project been identified in the department's operational plan?	What is the value to the Health Unit for pursuing this evaluation/research project?
	What is the priority of this evaluation/research project for the year?	Has the evaluation/research project been evaluated in the literature or elsewhere?
	What will be gained by pursuing this evaluation/research project?	
	Consider a cost benefit analysis/ for this evaluation/research project? Is this a reasonable use of resources?	
	How committed to this program/project is your Department? The Health Unit?	
	What is the proposed timeline for this project/research evaluation?	Is the proposed timeline for the evaluation/research project reasonable?
	Confirm that the resources needed are available (e.g., manager/director approval to access resources; program grant).	Has Appendix C been signed/completed by the Manager/Director?
Step 4: Determine your	Identify/state your evaluation/research question(s).	Are the questions aligned with the purpose of the evaluation identified in Step 1?

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
evaluation/ research questions	What category below best describes the type of evaluation/research to be conducted? Choose as many that apply.	Do the evaluation question(s) align with the type of evaluation to be conducted?
	☐ Needs assessment/formative evaluation (e.g., how was an existing program developed?).	
	☐ <i>Process evaluation</i> (e.g., was the program/project implemented as intended?).	
	☐ Outcome evaluation (e.g., were the intended short-term and/or long-term outcomes produced?).	
Step 5: Determine appropriate methods of	Write a narrative to answer the following questions:  What data will be collected and by whom? (Primary and/or secondary; see relevant questions below)	Has enough detail been provided so that you understand the methods of measurement and procedures?
measurement and	What procedures, tools and techniques will be used to	Can the methods be reproduced?
procedures	collect data?  Primary data collection:	Does the plan make logical sense?
and Step 6: Develop an	<ul> <li>How will primary data be collected? (e.g., survey, focus group, interviews)?</li> <li>What instruments (e.g., questionnaires, observation checklists, measurement scales, etc.)</li> </ul>	Are there existing data (e.g. administrative data) which could be used to answer the question, rather than collecting primary data?
evaluation/ research plan	and procedures will be used? Please provide copies.  • Will instruments or questionnaires be pilot	Has the use of validated data collection tools been explored?
	tested and adjustments made based on the results?	Do the methods of measurement align with the evaluation/research questions?
	<ul> <li>Were the instruments/tools previously validated?</li> <li>Provide the rationale of how the methods of</li> </ul>	Does the timing of data collection enable information to be captured to answer the

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
	measurement and procedures answer the	research/evaluation questions?
	proposed evaluation/research question	Have the data collectors been identified?
	Secondary data collection:	Are the procedures detailed enough to ensure that
	<ul><li>How will secondary data be accessed?</li><li>If data will be used that have been collected</li></ul>	different people will collect data in a consistent way?
	and/or held by others/ other organizations, how will access to the data be obtained?	Who will access secondary data and how?
	What information will be accessed?	,
	<ul> <li>Provide the rationale of how the methods of measurement and procedures answer the proposed evaluation/research question?</li> </ul>	Was consent obtained or is it required for the use of the secondary data?
	If collecting primary data recruitment; informed consent,	Are you confident in the recruitment, informed
	ethics and privacy considerations:	consent, ethics and privacy considerations as
	Miles of a factor will be a seed to see a fi	outlined or are there ways these can be
	<ul> <li>What strategies will be used to recruit participants?</li> </ul>	strengthened?
	<ul> <li>How will they be selected? What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria?</li> </ul>	Recruitment:
	<ul> <li>If you are sampling the population, how will sampling occur? What is your required sample size?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Why are they your target population?</li> <li>Will participants be assigned to groups (e.g., a control group or intervention group)? If so, what</li> </ul>	Informed consent:  • Is written consent warranted?  • Is a confidentiality agreement warranted?
	<ul> <li>are the criteria for assignment to the groups?</li> <li>How will informed consent be obtained? Who will obtain consent? How will consent be documented?</li> </ul>	What is the process for withdrawing consent?
	What is the process for withdrawing consent?	
	<ul> <li>Will participants be paid for participation? If yes, how much? In what form of payment?</li> </ul>	
	Will participants be paid and/or receive items or	Ethics and privacy considerations:

Steps	Questions	Prompt / Response
	gift(s) for participation?	
	<ul> <li>Will interviews or focus groups be audio or video recorded?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Explain how the privacy of the participants will be respected (e.g., no identifying information will be collected; codes will be used for participants and</li> </ul>	
	sites; only members of the research team will have access to the data).	
	<ul> <li>What identifiers will be included in any data collected (e.g., names of participants)?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>How will potential participants receive assurance that their decision regarding participation will not affect the service they receive or their</li> </ul>	
	relationship with the Health Unit?	
	<ul> <li>Will the project involve any vulnerable populations (e.g., children, individuals with mental illness, prisoners)? What risks might the</li> </ul>	
	project present to such populations and how will these risk be mitigated?	
	Is it possible that participants will disclose abuse,	
	neglect or intent to harm themselves? If yes, how will you respond to such cases?	

Steps	Questions	Response
Step 7: Collect data	Who will have access to the data?	Do they have authority to collect / access the data?
		Do they have authority to use the data?
	Describe the procedure to store and manage the data	Does the data management plan, including the
	collected.	procedures outlined make sense?
Step 8:	Who will conduct the analysis of the data?	Has a person(s) been identified? Do they have the
Process data		necessary skills or have they identified how those
and analyze		skills will be gained in advance of the analysis?
results		
	What methods will be used to analyze the data (e.g., statistical methods; qualitative methods)?	Do the analysis methods align with how the data was collected?
		How will an improvement be measured?
		Should the Statistical or clinical/practical significance?
		Was a baseline measurement obtained or will one be?
	If requesting analysis from the Epidemiology and	Is the requested product and mode of presentation
	Evaluation Services team, what type(s) of products are	clear and specific?
	being requested (e.g., data tables, graphs,	
	descriptive/analytical interpretation, summaries, report)?	
	How would you like the data analyzed and presented (e.g.,	
	graph, table, aggregate, report)?	

Steps	Questions	Response
Step 9: Interpret and disseminate results	Who is responsible for interpreting the findings?	Has a person(s) been identified to interpret the findings?  Does this appear to be an appropriate person (e.g., not the person who collected the data; has the skills to interpret the findings)?
	Will other stakeholders beyond the project team be involved in interpreting the results? If yes, provide details.  Will the findings be used internally and/or externally?	Is it clear how the results will be used? Does this make sense?
	Describe a dissemination strategy for communicating the results internally and externally.	Have the key stakeholders been identified?  Does the dissemination strategy make sense?
Step 10: Apply evaluation findings	How will the findings be utilized (e.g., revise the program? Influence policy? Change practices?)?	Does how the findings will be used align with the purpose of the evaluation/research project goals and/or objectives?