Growing Leadership for Effective Public Health Practice Chatham-Kent HU

Resources invested	Activities	Target Audience	Outputs	Expected Short-term outcomes	Methodology Used	Short-term outcomes Results
-Internal resources (staff time) Planning & Evaluation specialist: 64 hours Epidemiologist 1: 41.5 hours Epidemiologist 2: 24 hours -External resources Public Health Ontario: mtgs (6 hrs), 2 Workshops (15 hrs) NCCMT: mtgs (3 hrs) Implication of two to three staff members for the intervention's implementation. Costs for the workshops: \$600.00.	Self-assessment tool to inform learning objectives for the workshops. Workshops for leadership based on building evaluation efforts.	Five Program Managers and the Director of Public Health.	Two full days of interactive workshops on building capacity for effective public health practice and on utilization of evaluation within their program teams.	- To increase knowledge of components for effective public health practices among management. - To increase perceptions of utilization within their program teams. -To be able to identify critical evaluation questions within their program area.	Mixed-methods designOnline surveys (Self-assessment) to gather baseline information on knowledge, awareness and perceptions regarding evidence-informed public health practice. -Guided discussion of the online survey results with the managers (n=6) based on an outline informed from the survey results. -Post-workshop interviews with workshop participants were conducted and results were transcribed, coded into themes, summarized, and reported back to the participants.	-The Self-assessment informed the workshops, which increased leadership's understanding and perceptions around evidence-informed public health practice. -Knowledge, skills, attitudes, and perceptions were increased or reinforced as outlined by Program Managers and the Director. -The organizational structure was touched on when discussing incorporating planning and evaluation in the hiring process, and including planning and evaluation more formally in program areas. For some, the roles of the Managers and Director were mostly clarified
Reported factors influencing on implementation	 Lack of resources (human, financial). High level of motivation among Program managers and the Director in participating to evaluation capacity building efforts. Different levels of knowledge and skills in evaluation among staff. 					